## **Unlocking Data Insights -Introduction to Data-Centric Al** New life to your data

Funded by the European Union NextGenerationEU



🥖 Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca



Italiadomani









### Data-Centric Al: transforming raw Executive summary

- Traditional encoding methods
- Advanced encoding methods
- Nice Tools



One-ho variable each u binary one an

 $\mathbf{001}$ 100

### One-hot (Weiss et al., 2015): given a

- variable containing n different values, the
- variable is transformed into an array where each unique value is represented as a binary vector with the i - th position set to
- one and the rest set to zero.





**CountVectorizer (count2vec) (Weiss** et al., 2015): given a collection of categorical documents, this method produces a matrix of token occurrences, where each line in the matrix represents a document and each column a token. The size of the vector space depends on the *n* unique values in the vector space.





**TF** = n. of times the term appears in the document/total number of terms in the document **IDF** = log(n. of the document in the corpus/number of the documents in the corpus contain term)  $\mathbf{TF} - \mathbf{IDF} = \mathbf{TF} * \mathbf{IDF}$ 

**TF-IDF (Luhn, 1958):** the term frequency (TF) captures the frequency of a particular token w.r.t. to a given document, whereas the inverse document frequency (IDF) measures how common the token is in the corpus.



HashVectorizer (hash2vec) (Weiss et al., **2015):** it does the same as count2vec. However, instead of storing tokens, it directly maps each token to a column position in the matrix of occurrences. It is mainly useful for large datasets and unlike one-hot and count2vec, which have the same dimensionality as the vocabulary length, this method has the flexibility to hash tokens in any dimensionality.



**N-grams (Gasparetto et al., 2022):** this method represents a given sequence of elements through sub-sequences of *n* items. Thus, considering a sequence  $\mathbf{s} = \{s1, \dots, si\}$ , the ngrams representation of these sequences is given by *n* – *grams*  $= \{ (s1, ..., sn), (s2, ..., sn+1), ... \}$ (si-n, ..., si).







### Advance encoding methods

Source: dalle2.gallery





### **Encoding methods are responsible for** transforming complex information into a representative feature space, i.e., mapping data from one space to another\*

\*Gabriel M. Tavares, Rafael S. Oyamada, Sylvio Barbon, Paolo Ceravolo, Trace encoding in process mining: A survey and benchmarking, Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Volume 126, Part D, 2023



## Why we need the new data form?



## To unlock the predictive Discover power of Neural Networks into



Discover possible pattern into to the data

Combine different data sources

### Advanced encoding methods





### Image

Grey Scale Image RGB Image

# Hybrid approach Combine encoding methods

### **Contextual embedding**



- arXiv:2012.06678.
- Systems, 34: 18932–18943

1. Huang, X.; Khetan, A.; Cvitkovic, M.; and Karnin, Z. 2020. Tabtransformer: Tabular data modeling using contextual embeddings. arXiv preprint

2. Gorishniy, Y.; Rubachev, I.; Khrulkov, V.; and Babenko, A. 2021. Revisiting deep learning models for tabular data. Advances in Neural Information Processing

3. Liu, Guang, Jie Yang, and Ledell Wu. "PTab: Using the Pre-trained Language Model for Modeling Tabular Data." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.08060* (2022)



# 

**Categorical value in a** feature can mean different things, depending on the context

> e.g: fox in the forest fox news

### **TabTransformer**



### **TabTransformer use that information in** tabular data and represent the same value with differently, if the context is different

### Why adopt TabTransformer?

### A lot of unlabeled examples

Few labeled examples





### Pre-training procedure on unlabeled examples + fine-tuning

Pre-training: Masked Language Modeling (MLM) Replace Token Detection (RTD)

### **Keras implementations**

https://keras.io/examples/structured\_data/tabtransformer/



## The majority of datasets in production are tabular





### e.g. Pandas Dataframe



An extended version of **TabTransformer** 

### **FT-Transformer model transforms all** features (categorical and numerical) to embeddings and applies a stack of **Transformer layers to the embeddings**

### **FT-Transformer**





### **Tabular Data** e.g. Pandas Dataframe

\*https://www.reddit.com/media?url=https://preview.redd.it/project-improving-deep-learning-for-tabular-data-with-v0-mk28f629uxw91.png?width=1916&format=png&auto=webp&s=cfb25443c2235131ced58f8936cd97054ceabaf6

### **FT-Transformer**



### The FT-Transformer architecture Credits: reddit\*







### **Tabular data as text**

Thanks to the availability of several pre-trained LLMs we can

### **Transform our** original dataset through Sentence embedding







### Tabular Data e.g. Pandas Dataframe

Transform column in text

### Tabular data as text [3]

Job: Advocate, Sex: M, ...





Generate Embedding with pre-trained model

### Advanced encoding methods



# Contextual Emedding

Word2Vec LLMs: Bert ...



### Image

Grey Scale Image RGB Image

# Hybrid approach Combine encoding methods





- 1. T. Kim, S. C. Suh, H. Kim, J. Kim and J. Kim, "An Encoding Technique for CNNbased Network Anomaly Detection," 2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), Seattle
- 2. Predictive Process Mining meets Computer Vision, Vincenzo Pasquadibisceglie, Annalisa Appice, Giovanna Castellano, and Donato Malerba **BPM Forum 2020**
- A methodology to transform a non-image data to an image for convolution neural network architecture", Sci. Rep., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 11399, Dec. 2019 Encoding and CNNs Vincenzo Pasquadibisceglie, Annalisa Appice, Giovanna Castellano, Donato Malerba, and Giuseppe Modugno, October 2020 IEEE
- 3. A. Sharma, E. Vans, D. Shigemizu, K. A. Boroevich and T. Tsunoda, "DeepInsight: 4. ORANGE: Outcome-Oriented Predictive Process Monitoring Based on Image Access Volume 8

### Data like image

## Data like RGB image [1,2]



Tabular Data

RGB Encoding



### Predictive model (CNN, ViT, etc.)

Prediction

## Data like RGB image [1,2]



## Data like RGB image [1,2]





Transform into 24 bit value

Decimal to binary



### 10011110 10111000 01010001

Split into 3 groups (8 bit)

## Data like RGB image [1,2]





**RGB** Pixel value

### We are excluding possible relationships between features





## **DeepInsight encoding** [3,4]



Tabular Data Grey scale Encoding



### Predictive model (CNN, ViT, etc.)

Prediction

### DeepInsight encoding

In an attempt to capture possible spatial relationships between features, the one-to-one association between features and pixel frames is done according to the theory introduced in [3]







**Tabular Data** e.g. Pandas Dataframe

### **DeepInsight encoding**

Transpose

**Dimensionality reduction** technique

### DeepInsight encoding



Dimensionality reduction technique

**Convex Hull** 

Rotation

### DeepInsight encoding



### Grid definition

**Detect possible collisions** 





Grayscale image





### Contextual Emedding

Word2Vec LLMs: Bert ...

Grey Scale Image **RGB** Image

### Advanced encoding methods



### Image

Hybrid approach Combine encoding methods



Computing

## **Combine different** encoding methods to obtain new one



1. V. Pasquadibisceglie, A. Appice, G. Castellano and D. Malerba, "JARVIS: Joining Adversarial Training With Vision Transformers in **Next-Activity Prediction," in IEEE Transactions on Services** 

### Hybrid Approach Problem

## Develop a novel predictive process monitoring approach to solve the next-activity problem



### Hybrid Approach **Existing solutions**

## If we consider the nature of the problem

# 



The solution is to apply suitable encodings to handle sequences





### Hybrid Approach **Existing solutions** In fact, most approaches in the literature adopt LSTM/Transformer neural networks



Activity

**Considering different perspectives in an event log** 









### Hybrid Approach Event log: An example



Price \$45.00 \$45.00 \$45.00 \$45.00 \$45.00 \$45.00

### **Event attribute**

### **Trace attribute**



### Hybrid Approach in action Extract labeled prefix trace





| Prefix t            | race                    |                         |                          |                          |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| W_N                 | W_N                     | W_N                     | W_V                      | W_V                      |
| ffertes             | offertes                | offertes                | aanvraag                 | aanvraag                 |
| Res34               | Res9                    | Res39                   | Res51                    | Res51                    |
|                     |                         |                         |                          |                          |
| .81499              | 1352704                 | 1359501                 | 1531894                  | 1533843                  |
| /                   | <u> </u>                |                         |                          |                          |
| .0000               | 10000                   | 10000                   | 10000                    | 10000                    |
|                     | Discretiza              | tion                    |                          |                          |
| 15e+06 -<br>22e+06] | [1.32e+06 -<br>1.45e+06 | [1.32e+06 -<br>1.45e+06 | [1.45e+06 -<br>1.57e+06] | [1.45e+06 -<br>1.57e+06] |
| 975 -<br>0024]      | [9975 -<br>10024]       | [9975 -<br>10024]       | [9975 -<br>10024]        | [9975 -<br>10024]        |
|                     |                         |                         |                          |                          |

### Hybrid Approach in action Word2Vec embedding







| W_C aanvraag →   | 1.00 |
|------------------|------|
| W_C aanvraag — → | 1.00 |
| W_N offertes ——→ | 0.14 |
| W_N offertes→    | 0.14 |
| W_N offertes →   | 0.14 |
| W_V aanvraag →   | 0.42 |
| W_V aanvraag — → | 0.42 |



### Hybrid Approach in action Word2Vec embedding to Imagery color patch

|  | [R:18 |
|--|-------|
|--|-------|

| 1.( | 00 | 0. | 73 | 0.71 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0. | 1 | 4 |    | 0  |   |
|-----|----|----|----|------|-----|---|-----|---|----|---|---|----|----|---|
| 1.( | 00 | 0. | 73 | 0.71 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0. | 1 | 4 |    | 0  |   |
| 0.1 | 14 | 0. | 59 | 0    | 0.7 | 8 | 0   |   | 0. | 7 | 4 | 0. | 24 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 14 | 0. | 59 | 0    | 0.7 | 8 | 0   |   | 0. | 7 | 4 | 0. | 24 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 14 | 0. | 59 | 0    | 0.7 | 8 | 0   |   | 0. | 7 | 4 | 0. | 24 | 1 |
| 0.4 | 42 | 0. | 16 | 0.38 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.1 | 3 | 0. | 2 | 3 | 0. | 82 | 2 |
| ).4 | 42 | 0. | 16 | 0.38 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.1 | 3 | 0. | 2 | 3 | 0. | 82 | 2 |



 $pixel8bit = 0.73 * (2^{24} - 1)$ DecimalToBinary [10111010, 11100001, 01000110]BinaryToDecimal **36, G:122, B:243**]



### Hybrid Approach in action Multi-patch color image



















Patch + Positional embedding  $(\mathbf{E}_{pos})$ 





### Hybrid Approach in action Generate Adversarial Example





# FGSM PGD DeepFool

### Hybrid Approach in action **Estimate the ViT parameters**



Original data



### **Adversarial** training

+



### **Adversarial** data

### Hybrid Approach in action Map of attention



### Hybrid Approach in action Global explanation

|         | Ó        |          |           | 10     |        |           | 20       |             |         | 3(      | C            |         | 4    | 10   |              |                 | 50             |        |        | 60      |                 |            | 70    |             |
|---------|----------|----------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|------|------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------|-------|-------------|
| BPI12W  | 69       | 41       | 22        | 19     | X      | X         | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| BPI12WC | 69       | 55       | 42        | 48     | X      | X         | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| BPI12C  | 74       | 35       | 37        | 26     | X      | X         | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| BPI13P  | 53       | 32       | 25        | X      | 22     | 21        | 19       | 29          | 30      | 28      | X            | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| BPI13I  | 35       | 20       | 17        | X      | 26     | 26        | 34       | 34          | 16      | 18      | 10           | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| Receipt | 62       | 30       | 25        | X      | X      | 25        | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | X       | X    | X    | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | 22              | 16         | 18    | 19          |
| BPI17O  | 27       | 13       | 11        | X      | X      | X         | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | X       | X    | X    | 24           | 20              | 21             | 25     | 25     | 21      | X               | X          | X     | X           |
| BPI20R  | 72       | 32       | 17        | X      | X      | 28        | X        | X           | X       | X       | X            | 18      | 11   | 28   | X            | X               | X              | X      | X      | X       | X               | X          | X     | X           |
|         | activity | resource | timestamp | amount | impact | org group | org role | org country | product | country | org involved | project | task | role | credit score | firstwithdrawal | offered amount | number | action | monthly | cost<br>channel | department | group | responsible |

### Hybrid Approach in action Global explanation - Label analysis

Accepted-Assigned (10.80%)

Accepted-In Progress (29.80%)

Accepted-Wait (9.34%)

Completed-Closed (27.64%)

Queued-Awaiting Assignmen (22.42%)



### Hybrid Approach in action Global explanation - Event analysis

|                | activity | resource | imestamp | impact | org | org role | org<br>country | product | resource<br>country |      |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-----|----------|----------------|---------|---------------------|------|
| e4             | 79       | 49       | 35       | 34     | 36  | 34       | 47             | 48      | 46                  | - 20 |
| e3             | 59       | 35       | 28       | 20     | 21  | 18       | 34             | 33      | 30                  | -40  |
| e2             | 43       | 25       | 21       | 17     | 15  | 13       | 23             | 23      | 21                  | -60  |
| e <sub>1</sub> | 30       | 17       | 16       | 17     | 13  | 12       | 14             | 15      | 14                  |      |

### Hybrid Approach in action Local explanation

| activity  | Accepted<br>in Progress | Accepted<br>in Progress | Accepted<br>Wait | Accepted<br>in Progress |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|           | 108.0                   | 108.0                   | 188.0            | 76.0                    |  |  |
|           | Org line C              | Org line C              | Org line C       | Org line C              |  |  |
| org group | 72.25                   | 112.25                  | 111.25           | 105.25                  |  |  |
|           | Prod834                 | Prod834                 | Prod834          | Prod834                 |  |  |
| product   | 88.5                    | 95.75                   | 99.5             | 99.5                    |  |  |

### Hybrid Approach in action Accuracy analysis

| Eventlog |        |              | FSco         | ore          |              |       |              |              | GMe          | an           |              |       |
|----------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| 8        | JARVIS | [3]          | [4]          | [9]          | [20]         | [23]  | JARVIS       | [3]          | [4]          | [9]          | [20]         | [23]  |
| BPI12W   | 0.667  | 0.737        | <u>0.692</u> | 0.673        | 0.673        | 0.661 | 0.820        | 0.847        | 0.828        | 0.792        | 0.819        | 0.825 |
| BPI12WC  | 0.705  | 0.685        | 0.661        | 0.675        | 0.645        | 0.668 | 0.812        | <u>0.798</u> | 0.778        | 0.792        | 0.780        | 0.787 |
| BPI12C   | 0.644  | 0.654        | 0.642        | 0.638        | 0.643        | 0.624 | 0.786        | 0.792        | 0.782        | 0.785        | 0.781        | 0.781 |
| BPI13P   | 0.414  | 0.320        | 0.336        | 0.408        | 0.228        | 0.405 | 0.595        | 0.533        | 0.546        | <u>0.594</u> | 0.472        | 0.593 |
| BPI13I   | 0.387  | <u>0.405</u> | 0.295        | 0.407        | 0.363        | 0.380 | <u>0.615</u> | 0.626        | 0.534        | 0.626        | 0.594        | 0.603 |
| Receipt  | 0.525  | 0.455        | 0.409        | <u>0.471</u> | 0.302        | 0.383 | 0.733        | 0.676        | 0.646        | <u>0.702</u> | 0.563        | 0.620 |
| BPI17O   | 0.720  | 0.714        | 0.705        | 0.691        | <u>0.718</u> | 0.712 | 0.846        | 0.833        | 0.830        | 0.815        | <u>0.835</u> | 0.831 |
| BPI20R   | 0.491  | 0.450        | <u>0.483</u> | 0.455        | 0.432        | 0.481 | 0.699        | 0.660        | <u>0.691</u> | 0.664        | 0.643        | 0.683 |
|          |        |              |              |              |              |       |              |              |              |              |              |       |

### [3],[4] LSTM-based [9],[20] Image-based [23] Transformers



https://colab.research.google.com/drive/ 1o\_4QRq2llVqoB2c2RtjHGCUJqGOkZKUe?usp=sharing